

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. Contract ID Code Firm-Fixed-Price		Page 1 Of 12	
2. Amendment/Modification No. 0001		3. Effective Date 2007JAN25		4. Requisition/Purchase Req No. SEE SCHEDULE		5. Project No. (If applicable)	
6. Issued By Code W52P1J HQ ARMY SUSTAINMENT COMMAND AMSAS-ACA-F RACHEL PHELPS (309)782-0281 ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-6500 BLDGS 350 & 390 EMAIL: RACHEL.PHELPS@US.ARMY.MIL		7. Administered By (If other than Item 6) Code					
				SCD PAS ADP PT			
8. Name And Address Of Contractor (No., Street, City, County, State and Zip Code)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		9A. Amendment Of Solicitation No.	
						W52P1J-06-R-0206	
						9B. Dated (See Item 11) 2007JAN08	
				<input type="checkbox"/>		10A. Modification Of Contract/Order No.	
				<input type="checkbox"/>		10B. Dated (See Item 13)	
Code		Facility Code					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input type="checkbox"/> is not extended. 2007FEB16 02:30pm Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning <u>2 signed</u> copies of the amendments: (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. Accounting And Appropriation Data (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS It Modifies The Contract/Order No. As Described In Item 14.							
<input type="checkbox"/>		A. This Change Order is Issued Pursuant To: The Contract/Order No. In Item 10A.					
<input type="checkbox"/>		B. The Above Numbered Contract/Order Is Modified To Reflect The Administrative Changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) Set Forth In Item 14, Pursuant To The Authority of FAR 43.103(b).					
<input type="checkbox"/>		C. This Supplemental Agreement Is Entered Into Pursuant To Authority Of:					
<input type="checkbox"/>		D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the Issuing Office.							
14. Description Of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) SEE SECOND PAGE FOR DESCRIPTION							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. Name And Title Of Signer (Type or print)				16A. Name And Title Of Contracting Officer (Type or print)			
15B. Contractor/Offeror _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. Date Signed		16B. United States Of America By _____ /SIGNED/ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. Date Signed	
NSN 7540-01-152-8070 PREVIOUS EDITIONS UNUSABLE				30-105-02		STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83) Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243	

CONTINUATION SHEET	Reference No. of Document Being Continued PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206 MOD/AMD 0001	Page 2 of 12
Name of Offeror or Contractor:		

SECTION A - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

1. The purpose of this amendment is to make several changes to the RFP as stated below:
2. Section E, Local Clause 52.245-4538, Government Furnished Ammunition, is added to this RFP.
3. Section I, Local Clause 52.216-4568, Economic Price Adjustment- Steel (Actual Cost), is added to this RFP.
4. Section L, Proposal Information, is changed to allow offerors to submit proposals via hard copy and CD OR e-mail.
5. Section M, Evaluation Factors for Award, Paragraph C.3.b is changed to further describe price evaluation.
6. Please note that the following new quality clauses are included in this RFP (see basic solicitation): Process Capability, Control, & Improvement Requirements, dated 9/20/2006; and Critical Characteristics Clause, dated January 2006.
7. The closing date and time of this solicitation is extended to 16 February 2007, 2:30 pm CST. It is requested that all questions/comments regarding this solicitation be submitted not later than 9 February 2007.

*** END OF NARRATIVE A 0002 ***

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

SECTION E - INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

For Local Clauses See: <http://www.afsc.army.mil/ac/aaais/ioc/clauses/index.htm>

Status	Regulatory Cite	Title		Date
E-1 CHANGED	52.245-4538	GOVERNMENT FURNISHED AMMUNITION		MAY/1994
	LOCAL			
(a) Ammunition has been programmed to support contractual test requirements as follows:				
ROUNDS	CARTRIDGE	NSN	DODIC	
TBD	25mm M793	1305-01-299-1674	A976	
(b) The exact quantity of reference rounds provided to the contractor will be determined by the Government based on the award quantity of each delivery order.				
(b) Requests for reference rounds shall be submitted to the PCO within 30 days of each Delivery Order award.				
(End of clause)				

(ES6025)

<p align="center">CONTINUATION SHEET</p>	<p align="center">Reference No. of Document Being Continued</p> <p> PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206 MOD/AMD 0001 </p>	<p align="right">Page 4 of 12</p>
---	--	---

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

SECTION I - CONTRACT CLAUSES

For Local Clauses See: <http://www.afsc.army.mil/ac/aais/ioc/clauses/index.htm>

<u>Status</u>	<u>Regulatory Cite</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
I-1 CHANGED	52.216-4568 LOCAL	ECONOMIC PRICE ADJUSTMENT-STEEL (ACTUAL COST)	NOV/2005

(a) This clause applies to the prices for STEEL and ALUMINUM only. The amount of any increase or decrease in line item prices will be limited to the price of the actual fluctuation in material (Steel and Aluminum) only and shall not include such costs as labor, overhead, G&A and profit.

(b) The Contracting Officer will notify the contractor approximately 60 days prior to award of any delivery orders (EXCEPT the first delivery order). Within 30 days of the notice, the Contractor shall provide any increases or decreases related to Steel and Aluminum prices, including related documentation to support proposed changes. The delivery order unit prices will be awarded at the proposed unit price plus or minus the contractor substantiated adjustment BASED ON THE PRICE fluctuation (INCREASE OR DECREASE) FOR STEEL and ALUMINUM.

(c) Any price adjustment under this clause is subject to the following limitations:

(1) Any adjustment shall be limited to the effect on the unit price resulting from the increases or decreases in the price for STEEL and ALUMINUM. There shall be no adjustment for:

- (i) Supplies or services for which the production cost is not affected by such changes;
- (ii) Changes in line item unit price BASED ON MATERIAL OTHER THAN STEEL AND ALUMINUM; or
- (iii) Changes in the quantity of STEEL AND ALUMINUM used from that PROPOSED FOR THE production of PGU-23/U Cartridges (and CNU-405 cans).

(2) The total increase for any delivery order unit price made under this clause shall not exceed TEN PERCENT (10%) OF THE ORIGINAL UNIT PRICE PROPOSED. There is no percentage limitation on the amount of decreases that may be made under this clause.

(3) Any adjustments to an order unit price will only be made at the time of delivery order award. The unit price for any quantities remaining available for award will remain as proposed.

(d) The Contracting Officer may examine the Contractor's books, records, and other supporting data relevant to the cost of labor (including fringe benefits) and material during all reasonable times until the end of the three years after the date of final payment under this contract, or the time periods specified in Subpart 4.7 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), whichever is earlier.

Offerors shall provide the detailed data required by the EPA clause with their original proposal submission by completing the worksheet included as Attachment 012. Supporting documentation is also required in the form of a vendor quote, purchase order, invoices, etc. (including any escalation applied by the contractor) to substantiate the prices for steel and aluminum submitted above. This additional data will not be considered separately in the determination of price reasonableness. This information is used only in the calculation of an applicable EPA request for steel and aluminum for the delivery order quantities awarded. Failure to provide the detailed data required by the EPA clause or the supporting documentation in the form of a vendor quote, purchase order, invoices, etc. will render the contractor ineligible for any upward adjustments to unit prices as a result of an increase in the prices for steel and aluminum.

The Government will award the first delivery order under the resultant IDIQ contract at the same time the basic IDIQ contract is awarded. No EPA will be allowed on the first delivery order quantity.

(End of clause)

(IS6180)

CONTINUATION SHEET	Reference No. of Document Being Continued		Page 5 of 12
	PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206	MOD/AMD 0001	

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

SECTION J - LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

List of Addenda	Title	Date	Number of Pages	Transmitted By
Attachment 012	EPA CLAUSE DATA SUBMISSION			

<p style="text-align: center;">CONTINUATION SHEET</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Reference No. of Document Being Continued</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206 MOD/AMD 0001</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 6 of 12</p>
--	---	--

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS
PROPOSAL INFORMATION

1. Proposal Submission Format: The offeror's proposal volumes shall be submitted in five hard copies and via e-mail or CD. Each evaluation factor shall be addressed in a separate volume. The maximum total pages for all Best Value information is 60 pages as defined below. A page is defined as a single sided, 8 1/2 x 11 inch sheet, single line spacing with no less than 10 point font. Foldout pages may be used and shall fold entirely within the volume, and count as a single page. Foldout pages may only be used for large tables, charts, graphs, diagrams and schematics, not for pages of text. Any pages over the maximum total 60-page limit will not be considered in the evaluation. The cover, title page, tables of contents, and divider pages will not be counted against the maximum page limit. Any exception to this page and size limit is set forth as follows:

a. VOLUME 1 - TECHNICAL CAPABILITY & VOLUME 2 - PAST PERFORMANCE: The offerors proposal shall be limited to no more than 50 pages total. The offeror may divide the page count between the Technical sub factors and Past Performance sub factors, as deemed appropriate, without exceeding the total page limit of 50 pages. This page limit does not include the General Quality Manual as stated in Section V, paragraph A.2.b.

b. VOLUME 3 - PRICE: No page limitation.

c. VOLUME 4 - SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION: The offerors proposal shall be limited to no more than 10 pages. This 10 page limit does not apply to page submittals of the SF294.

Best Value information shall be provided for the offeror and key subcontractors identified by the offeror. The following information is to be submitted.

2. Definitions:

a. Recent is defined as occurring within the past three (3) years prior to this solicitations initial closing date. In addition, the Government has the right to consider information regarding contractor performance up to the date of award.

b. Relevant is defined as having previously produced the same or similar items.

c. Same or similar items are defined as 20MM, 25MM, and/or 30MM items that have been produced utilizing the same or similar manufacturing processes, essential skills, and unique techniques needed to produce the 25mm PGU-23/U TP Cartridge. The Government reserves the right to determine whether an item is the same or similar and whether the item applies to the current requirement(s) for evaluation purposes.

3. VOLUME 1 - TECHNICAL CAPABILITY PLAN REQUIRED SUBMITTAL:

NOTE: For any technical plan submitted, the Government will not assume the duty to search for data or information outside the technical plan being evaluated. The burden of providing complete and thorough information to address the elements below remains with the offeror.

a. Manufacturing Plan: The offeror shall provide a detailed manufacturing plan proposed for production of the PGU-23/U Cartridge to meet the requirements of the solicitation. This plan will include a description of the essential manufacturing processes, the essential procedures, including process control, and the essential skills required to produce the PGU-23/U Cartridge in accordance with the applicable technical data package. The plan must be realistic, achievable, and supportable. Proposals will address, as a minimum, the following:

* Identify essential manufacturing and test facilities, equipment, and skills.

* A detailed description of the proposed manufacturing processes/plans to include information as to whether the processes/component level items are manufactured in-house or procured from a sub-contractor/vendor. For each component level item, define all work to be accomplished by a sub-contractor/vendor and provide the name of the proposed sub-contractor/vendor. The component level items addressed shall include M115 Primer, Propellant, 25MM TP Projectile, and the Cartridge Case.

* A detailed description of the process control mechanisms/systems and equipment capable of detecting production problems and how the problems are resolved throughout the proposed manufacturing process.

b. Quality Plan: The offeror shall provide a detailed discussion of how the proposed approach for the performance of Quality Assurance meets the requirements as defined in the solicitation. The offerors Quality System Plan shall include, at a minimum:

* Objective evidence that the offerors quality system complies with the requirements of the solicitation listed in section E Higher-Level Contract Quality Requirements [i.e. independent certification by an accredited registrar, certification by Defense Contract Management Agency, or a copy of the offerors general quality manual (These documents will not be counted against the maximum number of pages)].

<p style="text-align: center;">CONTINUATION SHEET</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Reference No. of Document Being Continued</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206 MOD/AMD 0001</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 7 of 12</p>
--	---	--

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

* A description of the interrelations of the offerors quality program to the organization (such as an organizational chart) and its relationship with subcontractors and suppliers. A description of the mechanisms through which the offeror flows down and verifies contractual quality requirements to their subcontractors.

* Procedures for identification, control, correction, and resolution of deficiencies found in components and assemblies and end items.

* A description of the proposed program for the identification, inspection, prevention, and control of critical defects.

* A description of the offerors in-coming supplies and materials inspection plan/procedures to ensure supplies and materials to be utilized in production conform to the drawings and specifications.

c. Testing and Inspection Plan: The offeror shall provide a detailed discussion on their approach and their ability to meet and perform the testing and inspection identified in the solicitation/technical data specifications, including, but not limited to, the First Article Test (FAT) and Lot Acceptance Test (LAT) requirements. The offerors Testing and Inspection Plan shall include, at a minimum, information related to their equipment [to include Acceptance Inspection Equipment (AIE) and/or Automated Acceptance Inspection Equipment (AAIE)] techniques, and skills necessary to perform the tests and inspections.

4. VOLUME 2 - PAST PERFORMANCE REQUIRED SUBMITTAL: The offeror is to provide a description of their recent and relevant contracts received or performed within three years prior to the initial closing date of the solicitation, which will include the information set forth below.

- a. Name of contracting activity/commercial firm, point of contact, phone number
- b. Contract Number
- c. Total contract value
- d. Item, NSN, and Part Number
- e. If the contract listed is a relevant item, describe the relevance to the PGU-23/U.
- f. Contracting Officer/Contract Manager and telephone number
- g. Administrative Contracting Officer and telephone number (if different from f above)
- h. Original contract delivery schedule
- i. Actual or current contract delivery schedule
- j. A brief summary of each contract cited, addressing the sub-factors of Quality and Quality Program Problems and On-Time Delivery described below.

(i) Quality and Quality Program Problems: Offeror shall provide information on their recent, relevant performance in the area of quality assurance, applied on recent, relevant contracts. Offeror may submit evidence of quality awards and/or quality certifications for consideration. The Government will evaluate all quality issues discovered during the 3-year period of recent past performance, regardless of actual delivery. The offeror will be required to submit information explaining corrective/preventive actions taken to improve their processes and/or resolve their quality problems. The offeror shall disclose information about previous Requests for Waiver (RFWs), Requests for Deviation (RFDs), Quality Deficiency Reports (QDRs), Corrective Action Requests (CARs), First Article Test (FAT) failures, Lot Acceptance Test (LAT) failures, and/or other product quality or Quality Program related problems. Where quality system or product related problems have been experienced, the offeror is required to provide information describing actions taken to improve their system/processes to prevent recurrence. Where no RFW, RFD, QDR, CAR, FAT, or LAT failures exist, the contractor shall affirm no such report exists. The offerors submission must be clear and concise when describing any deficiencies, corrective actions, and implementation.

(ii) On-Time Delivery: Offeror shall provide information regarding recent, relevant past performance in the areas of timeliness of original and revised contractual deliveries. The offeror shall provide all pertinent information regarding any delivery schedule revisions.

NOTE: The Government reserves the right to contact/use other sources available to the government, other than the offerors proposal, to gather and evaluate the offerors responses to the factors/sub factors. These sources include, but are not limited to, data gathered via the Past Performance Information Management System (PPIMS) or Contractor Performance Assessment Reports (CPARS) Systems, pre-award offices at other major supporting commands, past customers, and previous contracting officials.

5. VOLUME 3 - PRICE REQUIRED SUBMITTAL: The offeror is required to submit a Price Proposal.\~ It will consist of a completed Section B for the quantity ranges and FAT identified within each contract year.

CONTINUATION SHEET	Reference No. of Document Being Continued PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206 MOD/AMD 0001	Page 8 of 12
Name of Offeror or Contractor:		

6. VOLUME 4 - SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION REQUIRED SUBMITTAL: As required by DFARS 215.304, Small Business Utilization is an evaluation factor in this acquisition.

a. All offerors (small, large and foreign) are required to identify the extent to which the following small businesses and educational institutions will be utilized in the contract:

- (1) Small Businesses (SBs), Veteran-Owned Small Businesses (VOSBs), Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Businesses (SDVOSBs), Small Disadvantaged Businesses (SDBs), Women-Owned Small Businesses (WOSBs), Historically Underutilized Business Zone (HUBZone) Small Businesses, hereinafter all referred to as SB; and
- (2) Historically Black Colleges, Universities, and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MIs).

b. For Small Businesses, as identified by the size standard for the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code applicable to this solicitation, the offeror's own participation as a SB or HBCU/MI is to be identified and will be considered in evaluating small business utilization.

c. Small Business Utilization

(1) The offeror is to provide in the format below; company name, products/services and the estimated dollar value, type of SB, HBCU/MIs, Large Businesses who would participate in the proposed contract, estimated total SB subcontracting dollars, and the estimated total contract value.

SB TYPE	EST. \$ VALUE	PRODUCT OR SERVICE	COMPANY NAME
---------	------------------	-----------------------	--------------

TOTAL SB \$

LARGE BUSINESS	EST. \$	PRODUCT OR	COMPANY NAME
----------------	---------	------------	--------------

EST. TOTAL CONTRACT \$

EST. TOTAL
SUBCONTRACTING \$

(2) All offerors are to provide a detailed description of their proposed methods used to promote maximum practicable opportunity for Small Business to participate in contracting and subcontracting , as prescribed by FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns.

(3) Realism Offerors are to provide the following information on relevant contracts performed within three years prior to the initial solicitation closing date for the same or similar products/services:

- (i) Small business offerors shall provide the following information for each relevant contract where FAR clause 52.219-8 applied; the total contract value, the total dollars to Small Business and the total subcontracting dollars to Large Business. A Small Business offeror shall identify and include their own performance in the documentation.
- (ii) Large business offerors shall provide the most recent Standard Form (SF)294, Subcontracting Report for Individual Contracts for each relevant contract where FAR clause 52.219-9 Small Business Subcontracting Plan applied.
- (iii) If the large business proposes substantially different small business utilization than experienced on similar work in the past, the SF294, they must explain how they will accomplish the higher/lower proposed level.
- (iv) Large businesses that have not had a contract in the past three years incorporating FAR clause 52.219-9, shall so state.

CONTINUATION SHEET	Reference No. of Document Being Continued PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206 MOD/AMD 0001	Page 9 of 12
---------------------------	---	----------------------------

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

For Local Clauses See: <http://www.afsc.army.mil/ac/aais/ioc/clauses/index.htm>

<u>Status</u>	<u>Regulatory Cite</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
M-1 CHANGED 52.215-4586 LOCAL	SECTION M, EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD		OCT/1997

The following are the evaluation factors for award:

A. Basis for Award

1. The Government plans to award one (1) five year IDIQ contract as a result of this solicitation. The evaluation of proposals will be conducted on a source selection basis utilizing a best value evaluation scheme. As part of the tradeoff determination, the relative strengths and/or weaknesses of each proposal will be considered in selecting the offer that represents the best overall value to the Government; therefore, the Government may award to other than the lowest priced offeror. Selection of the offeror for award will be based on an evaluation of Technical Capability, Past Performance, Price, and Small Business Utilization. The Technical Capability, Past Performance, and Small Business Utilization Areas will be rated in an adjectival manner.

2. Selection of the successful offeror will be made following an assessment of each proposal against the solicitation requirements and the cited evaluation criteria. The criteria will be used to evaluate and assess the information provided by offerors in response to the information called for in Section L of the RFP. The Government intends to award without discussions, however, the Government reserves the right to open discussions, if it is deemed necessary.

3. Any proposal which is unrealistic will be judged either as exhibiting a lack of competence or failure to comprehend the Government's requirements and may be so evaluated and rated, or rejected for such reasons. Furthermore, any significant inconsistency between the Technical Capability, Past Performance, Price, and Small Business Utilization Areas, if unexplained, may be grounds for rejection of the proposal. The Government will evaluate each proposal strictly in accordance with its content (except for past performance).

4. Pursuant to FAR 9.103, contracts will only be placed with contractors that the contracting officer determines to be responsible. Offerors must be able to demonstrate that they meet the standards of responsibility set forth in FAR 9.104. The Government reserves the right to conduct a pre-award survey on any and all offerors. The Government reserves the right to reject an offer that does not meet the standards for responsibility.

B. Evaluation Criteria:

1. Based upon a limited competition between NTIB offerors, award will be made to the offeror whose technical capability, past performance, price, and small business utilization provide the best value to the Government. Thus award may be made to other than the lowest priced offeror.

2. The award of one (1) IDIQ contract will be made to the responsible offeror whose proposal offers the best value based on the evaluation of the following areas:

- a) Technical Capability
 - (i) Manufacturing Plan
 - (ii) Quality Plan
 - (iii) Testing and Inspection Plan
- b) Past Performance
 - (i) Quality and/or Quality Program Problems
 - (ii) On-Time Delivery
- c) Price
- d) Small Business Utilization

3. The non-price factors of Technical Capability and Past Performance are relatively equal. The Technical Capability sub factors of Manufacturing Plan, Quality Plan, and Testing and Inspection Plan are relatively equal. The Past Performance sub factors of Quality and On-Time Delivery are relatively equal. Individually and together, Technical Capability and Past Performance are significantly more important than Price. Price is significantly more important than Small Business Utilization. All evaluation factors other than price, when combined, are significantly more important than price.

C. Evaluation of Proposal Areas will be performed as follows:

1. Technical Evaluated Factor: The Government shall utilize the following sub-factors to determine if the offeror has the technical know how, equipment, and personnel required to manufacture and manage the product IAW the delivery schedule as delineated in

<p style="text-align: center;">CONTINUATION SHEET</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Reference No. of Document Being Continued</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206 MOD/AMD 0001</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 10 of 12</p>
--	---	---

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

section B of the solicitation. Evaluations will be based on the ratings of the following sub-factors:

a. Manufacturing Plan: The offeror will be evaluated on their detailed manufacturing plan proposed for production of the PGU-23/U cartridge to meet the requirements of the solicitation. The offeror's proposal must identify the essential manufacturing processes, the essential procedures, including process control, and the essential skills required to produce the PGU-23/U cartridge in accordance with the applicable technical data package. The offeror's plan must be realistic, achievable, and supportable. The offeror's Manufacturing Plan shall include, at a minimum:

- * Essential manufacturing and test facilities, equipment, and skills.
- * The proposed manufacturing processes/plans to include information as to whether the processes/component level items are manufactured in-house or procured from a sub-contractor/vendor. For each component level item, define all work to be accomplished by a sub-contractor/vendor and provide the name of the proposed sub-contractor/vendor. The component level items addressed shall include M115 Primer, Propellant, 25MM TP Projectile, and the Cartridge Case.
- * Process control mechanisms/systems and equipment capable of detecting production problems and how the problems are resolved throughout the proposed manufacturing process.

b. Quality Plan: The offeror will be evaluated on their detailed discussion of their approach for the performance of Quality Assurance that meets the requirements as defined in the solicitation. The offeror's Quality System Plan shall include, at a minimum:

- * Objective evidence that the offeror's quality system complies with the requirements of the solicitation listed in section E - Higher-Level Contract Quality Requirements [i.e. independent certification by an accredited registrar, certification by Defense Contract Management Agency, or a copy of the offeror's general quality manual].
- * The interrelations of the offeror's quality program to the organization and its relationship with subcontractors and suppliers. A description of the mechanisms through which the offeror flows down and verifies contractual quality requirements to their subcontractors.
- * Procedures for identification, control, correction, and resolution of deficiencies found in components and assemblies and end items.
- * The proposed program for the identification, inspection, prevention, and control of critical defects.
- * The offeror's in-coming supplies and materials inspection plan/procedures to ensure supplies and materials to be utilized in production conform to the drawings and specifications.

c. Testing and Inspection Plan: The offeror will be evaluated on their approach and their ability to meet and perform the testing and inspection identified in the solicitation/technical data specifications, including, but not limited to, the First Article Test (FAT) and Lot Acceptance Test (LAT) requirements. The offeror's proposal shall provide information related to their equipment [to include Acceptance Inspection Equipment (AIE) and/or Automated Acceptance Inspection Equipment (AAIE)], techniques, and skills necessary to perform the tests and inspections.

2. Past Performance Evaluated Factor: The Government shall utilize the following sub-factors to evaluate the offeror's recent and relevant past performance. Evaluations will be based on the ratings of the following sub-factors. Outside sources available to the Government, other than the contractor's proposal, can be utilized to evaluate past performance. Sources include, but are not limited to, Government contracting and pre-award offices. In addition, the Government has the right to consider information regarding contractor performance up to date of award.

a. Quality and Quality Program Problems: The offeror will be evaluated on the probability of quality success on this contract based on their recent and relevant past quality performance, with specific consideration of the following:

- * Offeror's Requests for Waiver (RFWs) and Requests for Deviation (RFDs) evaluated to determine if they were caused by shortcomings in the offeror's quality system.
- * The number, nature, and severity of Quality Deficiency Reports (QDRs) determined to be the fault of the offeror.
- * Offeror's response to QDRs, FAT failures, lot acceptance failures, and other product quality problems to include the following:
 - (i) Root cause analysis of the deficiency
 - (ii) Corrective action to prevent recurrence
 - (iii) Repair/rework/replacement of product affected by the deficiency/nonconformance.
- * Objective evidence that the offeror's quality system identifies adverse trends and prevents the production of

<p style="text-align: center;">CONTINUATION SHEET</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Reference No. of Document Being Continued</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206 MOD/AMD 0001 </p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 11 of 12</p>
--	---	---

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

nonconforming material.

b. On-Time Delivery: The offeror will be evaluated on the information provided by the offeror on its recent, relevant contracts as defined in Section L, Proposal Information, paragraph 2. Definitions. The offeror will be rated on their record of on-time delivery, e.g. the original delivery schedule compared to the actual deliveries to determine whether deliveries were made on time. The offeror shall identify reasons why it did not meet its original delivery schedule.

3. Price Evaluated Factor:

a. The price will be an evaluated factor; however, it will not be adjectivally scored. The Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) requires that contracts be awarded at prices that are fair and reasonable.

b. The Government will evaluate offers for award purposes as follows. The Government will extend each quantity within a range times the proposed unit price for that range to arrive at the total proposed price for each range. Evaluated prices will be calculated for each contract year as the sum of the total proposed prices for each range and, if applicable, the proposed FAAT price. An overall total evaluated price will then be calculated as a weighted average of each year's evaluated price, with more weight assigned to year 1 & 2 prices.

c. Price Analysis shall be used to determine price reasonableness and whether the proposals reflect an understanding of the effort required. As part of the evaluation, proposals shall be reviewed to identify any significant unbalanced pricing. In accordance with FAR 15.404-1(g), i.e. unbalanced pricing, a proposal may be rejected if the contracting officer determines the lack of balance poses an unacceptable risk to the government.

4. Small Business Utilization Evaluated Factor:

a. As required by DFARS 215.304, Small Business Utilization is an evaluation factor in this acquisition. The Government will evaluate all offerors (small, large and foreign) proposed utilization of:

- Small Business (SB)
- Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB)
- Women-Owned Small Business (WOSB)
- Veteran-Owned Small Business (VOSB)
- Service Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB)
- Historically Underutilized Business Zone Small Business (HUBZone)
- hereinafter all to be referred to as SB; and
- Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI)

b. For Small Businesses, as identified by the size standard for the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) applicable to this solicitation, the offeror's own participation as a SB or HBCU/MI is to be identified and will be considered in evaluating small business utilization.

c. The Government will evaluate the extent to which an offeror identifies and commits to utilizing SB and HBCU/MI in the performance of the proposed contract as well as how it has performed in this regard in the past. Such utilization may be as the contractor, a subcontractor, or as a member of a joint venture or teaming arrangement. The elements to be evaluated are:

- (1) Complexity of specific products or services that will be provided by those SBs and HBCU/MIs.
- (2) The extent of Small Business utilization in terms of value of the total contract.

(3) Realism - The Government will evaluate the offeror's actual past performance in achieving the proposed small business utilization on relevant contracts performed within three years prior to the initial solicitation closing date for same or similar items to assess the realism of proposed small business utilization. This evaluation will include an assessment of:

- (i) For small business offerors, the percent of small business utilization to total contract value on each relevant contract will compared to the proposed percent of small business utilization to proposed total contract value.
- (ii) For large business offerors, their actual performance in meeting SB and HBCU/MI subcontracting goals on each relevant contract will be compared to the proposed percent of small business utilization to proposed total contract value. .
- (iii) Offerors without a record of past performance will not be considered favorably or unfavorably in developing a realism assessment. The fact that the offeror has no past performance will be noted for the Source Selection Authority.

(End of provision)

CONTINUATION SHEET	Reference No. of Document Being Continued PIIN/SIIN W52P1J-06-R-0206 MOD/AMD 0001	Page 12 of 12
Name of Offeror or Contractor:		